Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 02/27/2023

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 13

Nic Attridge, Austin Bowen, Bradley Coddington, Jessica Walker

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **95** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **100**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  **3-good**  Look at the feedback Mr. O’Dor gave you and the feedback we have given you below. Do any necessary changes. You are doing well. |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **20** | 20 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **30** | 30 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 2 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **10** | 10 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **8** | 8 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 95 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 15 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 15 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 100 |

**Comments:**

**PRESENTATION**

* Your nonfunctional requirements were good
* Your diagram updates were good

**DOCUMENTATION**

* The title page and TOC are much better—well done
* Formatting is excellent
* You had very little information in the Sprint 2 SCRUM meeting log. Did the whole team not meet during sprint 2? How did you get work done??
* If you have questions, email me

**COMMENTS**

* Mr. O’Dor gave you a 3/4 which is a 75% on your presentation! We gave you a 100. Remember, his grade for sprint 6 is the presentation grade! Read his comments and do his recommendations.